



**Utility Mapping Subcommittee
February 18, 2026**

Attendees: Wendi Snyder, Collins Cranmer, Derron Large, Brian Bedel, Deron Large, Carmon Carbone, Jim Collins, Brooke Ebersole, Jake Wright, Mitch Croy, Matt Hines, Dave Coniglio, Davy Myers, Jim Mandera, Greg First, Pat Ginetti, Brian Holden, Griffin Weasel, Scott Mergler, Patrick Murphy, Deanna Dewitt, Clayton Heitz, Justin Fruedeman, Greg Vergamini, Brad Shoemaker, Mike Lawson, Josh Thiemen, Jason Broyles

Deron Large and Collins Cranmer open by discussing the intent for today's meeting.

1. What is the issue? What are we trying to solve?
2. What is the cause of the issue and what obstacles play into it?
3. Possible Solutions
4. Discuss timeline as subcommittee

Mike Burroughs states that there is an existing issue of lack of communication between project owners and utility owners. Specifically, due to the speed of getting newly installed utilities mapped.

Dave Coniglio adds that plans are drawn up months in advance of projects kicking off. Plans show relocation of existing utilities as being required so not sure where the breakdown in communication is.

Deron Large asks how we would be able to pinpoint the break in communications?

Dave Coniglio responds that the contractor is just operating on plans provided by the project owner; specifically naming ODOT, County Engineers, etc. to speak to in order to get possible break in communication.

Pat Ginetti adds there is definitely a break down. All parties are involved in the planning stages and feels the breakdown is somewhere between final plans/design getting approved and the start of the project. Sees a big delay in many cases on the utilities due to relocation not being completed in the agreed to time, as outlined through the planning and preparation phases.

Mike Burroughs stated that preconstruction meetings where all utilities should be there. Utilities do not always attend the meeting or results of the meeting not making it to the right departments which is leading to the delays and confusion.

The question is posed, what is the average update time from installation to being put on maps/records?

Pat Ginnetti states that utility installations not going where they are supposed to adds to the issues. (incorrect placement from what is stated on plans/mapping)

Deron Large states that one causing factor to this is due to the crowded ROW's and there are certain clearances that must be met forcing the installed line location to flex some.

Pat Ginnetti states that most are okay, but there are bad actors out there

Brian Holden adds that there are federal requirements that gas utilities must follow. Attempts are made to update mapping within 60 days.

(Jason Broyles to research and report back on any State or Federal mandates/guidelines dealing with utility mapping)

Justin Fruedeman asks if this subcommittee is going to tie into the Abandoned Lines Subcommittee?

Collins Cranmer states that this subcommittee was intended to look at mapping timeframes from install, to getting into system and getting released to locate provider(s). Issues with newly installed utilities not getting located due to them not yet being mapped and available to contract locate provider(s). Understands the conversation surrounding relocation issues but cautions subcommittee that the two should remain separate as the water will potentially become very muddy.

Patrick Murphy stated that if a design ticket is called in, they will send a copy of the prints for that area. If it is a larger project, he will take a paper copy out to the excavator. All mapping/prints are handled in house.

Jim Mandera states that he does not understand the end game. Also recommends for subcommittee to meet monthly as quarterly meetings seem to add to situations where members feel subcommittee keeps having same conversation due to time between meetings.

Brad Shoemaker comments that they put the proposed mapping layer into their GIS for locators to access before installation of pipe. If during installation, changes have to be made, mapping is amended as installation is occurring.

Jake Wright comments that using a program like Lock Chain or some kind of coordination program would assist in some of the planning, design, install phases.

Clayton Heitz states that his understanding of the intent of this subcommittee from the OUDPC was to discuss getting locate marks on the ground, not mapping or updating mapping at OHIO811.

Meeting adjourns. Next meeting date March 25, 2026 @ 10.00 am.